Climate costing is politics not science

Nicholas Stern argues that today's integrated assessment models for quantifying the economic and societal impacts of climate change are inadequate (*Nature* **530**, 407–409; 2016). We disagree with his view on the superiority of more complex models such as DSGE (dynamic stochastic computable general equilibrium) models, which purport to account for a larger class of uncertain future events.

In our view, DSGE models have proved to be ineffective for policymaking, even in simple, short-term settings of pure economics, by failing to anticipate the onset of the recent recession (see P. Mirowski *Never Let a Serious Crisis Go to Waste* 275–286; Verso, 2013). Three decades of social-sciences research on science and politics make it clear that cost–benefit models cannot tame policy-relevant uncertainties or promote political agreement (see, for example, D. Collingridge and C. Reeve *Science Speaks to Power* 3–4, 59–60; Pinter, 1986).

Models that predict higher costs of climate change might make political intervention more palatable. But prescribing models that generate more precisely quantified estimates of a desired output is a political programme, not a scientific one. Responsible research requires responsible quantification and responsible acknowledgement of uncertainty.

Andrea Saltelli* Autonomous University of Barcelona, Cerdanyola del Vallès, Spain. andrea.saltelli@uib.no

*On behalf of 6 correspondents (see go.nature.com/wamqwt for full list

Supplementary information to:

Climate costing is politics not science Full list of signatories to a Correspondence published in Nature 532, 177 (2016); http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/532177a Andrea Saltelli European Centre for Governance in Complexity, Autonomous University of Barcelona, Cerdanyola del Vallès, Spain; and Centre for the Study of the Sciences and the Humanities (SVT), University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway. andrea.saltelli@uib.no Silvio Funtowicz Centre for the Study of the Sciences and the Humanities (SVT), University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway. Mario Giampietro Catalan Institution for Research and Advanced Studies (ICREA), Barcelona, Spain; and Institute of Environmental Science and Technology, Autonomous University of Barcelona, Cerdanyola del Vallès, Spain. Daniel Sarewitz Consortium for Science, Policy & Outcomes, Arizona State University, Washington DC, USA. Philip B. Stark Division of Mathematical and Physical Science, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, California, USA. Jeroen P. van der Sluijs Centre for the Study of the Sciences and the Humanities (SVT), and Department of Chemistry, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway; and Utrecht University, Utrecht, the Netherlands.

REFERENCE: Saltelli, A., Funtowicz, S., Giampietro, M., Sarewitz, D., Stark, P.B., van der Sluijs, J.P., 2016, Climate costing is politics not science, Nature, 14 April, 532, 177.